



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

HJD

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/526,710	11/15/2005	Naohiko Shiga	4265-0059WOUS	7450
35301	7590	01/10/2008	EXAMINER	
MCCORMICK, PAULDING & HUBER LLP			PIPALA, EDWARD J	
CITY PLACE II			ART UNIT	
185 ASYLUM STREET			PAPER NUMBER	
HARTFORD, CT 06103			3663	
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
01/10/2008		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/526,710	SHIGA, NAOHIKO	
	<b>Examiner</b> Edward Pipala	<b>Art Unit</b> 3663	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Edward Pipala. (3) Scott Lydon.

(2) Marina F. Cunningham. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 1/4/08.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference  
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1-8.

Identification of prior art discussed: Kawanobe et al.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: particularly discussed the recitation in claim 1 relating to the low driving force mode setting unit and its role in determining the downward slope direction as that in which the low driving force mode results in an increase in drive speed, and whether or not the Kawanobe et al. ('727) reference steps cited in the final rejection of 12/10/07 actually perform in a similar manner so as to properly anticipate the claimed subject matter of independent claim 1.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required